

**PCT**WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION  
International Bureau

## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

<b>(51) International Patent Classification 6 :</b> A01N 65/00, 27/00, 31/02, 31/04, 31/06, 43/90	<b>A1</b>	<b>(11) International Publication Number:</b> WO 97/16975 <b>(43) International Publication Date:</b> 15 May 1997 (15.05.97)
<b>(21) International Application Number:</b> PCT/AU95/00739 <b>(22) International Filing Date:</b> 6 November 1995 (06.11.95) <b>(71)(72) Applicants and Inventors:</b> SELGA, John [AU/AU]; Jury Road, Monash, S.A. 5342 (AU). KIELY, Wayne, Andrew [AU/AU]; Jury Road, Monash, S.A. 5342 (AU). <b>(74) Agent:</b> MADDERN'S; 1st floor, 64 Hindmarsh Square, Adelaide, S.A. 5000 (AU).		<b>(81) Designated States:</b> AL, AM, AT, AU, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, HU, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, TJ, TM, TT, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, ARIPO patent (KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG), European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).  <b>Published</b> <i>With international search report.</i>
<b>(54) Title:</b> HERBICIDAL COMPOSITION AND METHOD  <b>(57) Abstract</b> <p>The present invention relates to a herbicidal composition having, as an active ingredient, a terpene compound, a terpene derivative, or an essential oil comprising a terpene compound or derivative. In particular, the herbicidal composition will comprise a pine oil, a citrus oil or a terpene compound or derivative derived from pine oil or citrus oil. In a further aspect, the invention provides a method for controlling unwanted plant growth, wherein a terpene compound or derivative, an essential oil comprising a terpene compound or derivative, or a herbicidal composition having, as an active ingredient, a terpene compound or derivative or an essential oil comprising a terpene compound or derivative is applied to the unwanted plant or plants.</p>		

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AM	Armenia	GB	United Kingdom	MW	Malawi
AT	Austria	GE	Georgia	MX	Mexico
AU	Australia	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BB	Barbados	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BE	Belgium	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BF	Burkina Faso	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BG	Bulgaria	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BJ	Benin	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BR	Brazil	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
BY	Belarus	KG	Kyrgyzstan	RU	Russian Federation
CA	Canada	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CF	Central African Republic	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CG	Congo	KZ	Kazakhstan	SG	Singapore
CH	Switzerland	LJ	Liechtenstein	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LK	Sri Lanka	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LR	Liberia	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LT	Lithuania	SZ	Swaziland
CS	Czechoslovakia	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CZ	Czech Republic	LV	Latvia	TG	Togo
DE	Germany	MC	Monaco	TJ	Tajikistan
DK	Denmark	MD	Republic of Moldova	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
EE	Estonia	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	ML	Mali	UG	Uganda
FI	Finland	MN	Mongolia	US	United States of America
FR	France	MR	Mauritania	UZ	Uzbekistan
GA	Gabon			VN	Viet Nam

## HERBICIDAL COMPOSITION AND METHOD

## BACKGROUND TO THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to a herbicidal composition having, as an active ingredient, a terpene compound, a terpene derivative, or an essential oil comprising a terpene compound or derivative. In particular, the herbicidal composition will comprise a pine oil, dipentene, pinene, a pine alcohol or a terpene compound or derivative derived from pine oil. A citrus oil or a terpene compound derived from citrus oil, e.g. limonene (1-methyl-4-(1-methylethenyl)-cyclohexene), may also be used.

Many herbicidal compositions presently on the market are highly toxic to humans and domestic animals. A herbicide having lower toxicity is desirable, particularly for use by the home gardener.

The active ingredient of the present herbicidal compositions has the advantage of being an environmentally friendly, natural product, which is unlikely to cause environmental pollution or create toxicity problems for humans or domestic animals.

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention provides a herbicidal composition, having as an active ingredient a terpene compound or derivative, which may be either naturally occurring or synthetic. (Natural pine oil, for example, is virtually unobtainable.)

Although the terpene compounds or derivatives are believed to be the active ingredients of the herbicidal compositions, the same herbicidal effect is found using "whole" essential oils, such as pine oils and citrus oils, comprising such terpene compounds or derivatives.

The addition of other essential or natural oils can enhance the activity of the preparation.

In a further aspect, the invention provides a method for controlling unwanted plant growth, wherein a terpene compound or derivative, an essential oil comprising a terpene compound or derivative, or a herbicidal composition having, as an active ingredient, a terpene compound or derivative or an essential oil comprising a terpene compound or derivative is applied to the unwanted plant or plants.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The active ingredient of the present herbicidal compositions is a naturally occurring or synthetic terpene (e.g. dipentene, limonene or pinene) or terpene derivative (e.g. terpineol or a pine alcohol), or an essential oil (e.g. a pine or citrus oil), in either pure or diluted form. Examples of suitable citrus oils are orange, lemon, grapefruit, mandarin, tangerine or tangelo oil, and in particular orange oil.

Although the preferred active ingredient is a pine oil or a terpene compound or derivative derived from pine oil, other essential oils, terpenes and terpene derivatives may also be effective, e.g. citrus oils, citrus oil derivatives, terpenes or terpene derivatives derived from citrus oils, tea tree oil (Oil of Melaleuca) and eucalyptus oil. The major terpene derivative present in pine oil is terpineol. As with pine oil, the major terpene derivatives present in tea tree oil and eucalyptus oil are alcohol compounds, being terpinen-4-ol and cineole (eucalyptol) respectively.

In particular, dipentene, which is a synthetic derivative of pine oil predominantly comprising d,l-limonene, has been found to be effective.

In a preferred embodiment, the major active component of the herbicidal compositions is pine oil, a pine alcohol, pinene, dipentene or d-limonene. The last-mentioned compound is found in many essential oils and is the major active component of citrus oils.

l-Limonene has also been trialled, and appears to be as herbicidally-effective as d-limonene.

Tea tree and eucalyptus oils display very high herbicidal activity, but are currently high cost materials available in limited volumes. Therefore, until prices drop with increased world production, it is preferred that these oils be incorporated in the herbicidal compositions of the present invention as minor additives. Both tea tree oil and eucalyptus oil were found to enhance the herbicidal activity of an emulsion of pine oil when added at concentrations as low as 1.5% by volume. It appears that they have a synergistic effect when added to pine oil.

The herbicidal compositions of the present invention are non-selective, non-systemic herbicides, which are effective against almost any vegetation, and specifically against common crop and garden weeds, both annual and perennial. They are "knock-down" herbicides, which must be sprayed over a substantial portion of the above-ground part of the plant, in order to have effect. Conveniently, the herbicides are applied as a fine droplet spray. The non-systemic nature of the present herbicidal compositions is a considerable advantage to the home gardener, as spray drift is unlikely to cause problems. If a small amount of the herbicidal composition lands on a wanted plant, that plant is unlikely to be killed or badly damaged. A further consequence of the non-systemic nature of the herbicide is that the weed does not have to be in an active growth stage, in order for the herbicide to be effective. The herbicide will even work on

dormant plants. Furthermore, the herbicidal effect is not dependent on weather conditions, or adversely affected by moisture. Rain or irrigation after application of the herbicidal composition to unwanted plant growth does not destroy the herbicidal effect.

Most terpene compounds, terpene derivatives and essential oils have the advantage of being considerably safer than common agricultural chemicals, such as conventional herbicides. Terpene compounds and derivatives have been widely used, for some years, in medicines, cosmetics and foodstuffs, and thus their safety has been established. Accordingly, the terpene compounds, terpene derivatives and essential oils will also be non-environmentally-polluting and non-toxic to domestic animals. In particular, the herbicides of the present invention are non-residual, when purified pine oil or citrus terpenes are used as the active ingredient.

In use, the terpene, terpene derivative or essential oil may be applied to unwanted plant growth either by itself, or in the form of a conventional herbicidal composition. For example, the active ingredient of the present invention may be mixed with a carrier or diluent to form an easily applied formulation, which may be diluted according to the particular application.

Examples of herbicidal formulations include sprays, liquids, wettable powders, oily solutions, emulsions, dusts, granules, fumigants etc. Application may be by spraying or by means of a "touch" applicator, using a wick assembly.

In particular, emulsions comprising water, an essential oil and a commercial food grade emulsifying agent have been trialled. Whilst the amount of active ingredient is lower in such systems, trials conducted with limonene suggest that volatility is lowered, thereby prolonging contact between the

weed and the herbicide. This effect offsets the reduction in active ingredient content.

Alternatively, terpenes, terpene derivatives or essential oils may be blended with other, cheaper, and somewhat less volatile oils to form effective herbicides. For example, various natural oils (such as cottonseed oil, soybean oil, rapeseed oil, sunflower oil, safflower oil, olive oil, coconut oil, coconut milk, corn oil, grape seed oil and peanut oil) have been tested, and found to lack significant herbicidal activity. Nevertheless, they can be blended with the herbicidally-effective essential oils of the present invention to form effective herbicidal compositions.

Because of their environmentally friendly nature and low toxicity, the herbicidal compositions of the present invention will be of particular benefit to the home gardener. However, they may also be used in large-scale agriculture.

In a preferred embodiment, the active ingredient is d-limonene derived from a citrus oil, e.g. orange oil. The fraction comprising d-limonene is separated off by vacuum distillation, or any other conventional separation process. d-Limonene is volatile, and is separated off in the distillate. The distillate is a highly concentrated composition of the terpene compound, comprising about 95-96% by weight of d-limonene and about 4-5% by weight of other components. This distillate may be utilised directly as the herbicidal composition of the present invention.

It should be noted that, although d-limonene is believed to be the active ingredient of this herbicidal composition, other components present in the distillate may also have a herbicidal effect. Furthermore, it may be possible to use unrefined citrus oil, instead of the vacuum distillate. However, vacuum distillation (or other separation process,

such as steam distillation (azeotroping), solvent extraction, supercritical extraction etc.) has the advantage of separating the herbicidally active ingredient from flavour components of the citrus oil. The flavour components then form a valuable by-product, which can be utilised in e.g. foodstuffs or pharmaceutical compositions.

The invention will now be further described with respect to the following Examples, which are illustrative but not restrictive of the present invention.

#### EXAMPLE 1

d-Limonene, which can occur at levels of up to 95% in citrus oils, was distilled from Australian orange oil by vacuum distillation.

The d-limonene used in this trial was approximately 96% pure but, as with all commercially prepared citrus terpenes, contained various other natural compounds distilled in the "cut". The main ones were:

- Ethanol
- alpha-Pinene
- Sabinene
- Myrcene
- Octanal
- gamma-Terpinene
- Linalool
- Citronellal
- alpha-Terpinene
- Decanal
- Nerol
- Neral
- Geraniol
- Geranial
- Dodecanol
- Dodecanal.

The d-limonene fraction was applied to vegetation as a fine droplet spray.



Knockdown trials were carried out on vegetation growing in heavier (more clay) soils and lighter (more sand) soils. No significant differences in timing or effect were noted.

Knockdown trials were also carried out during different seasons and at different growth stages of the vegetation. Again, no significant differences in timing or effect were noted.

The d-limonene fraction was found to be non-selective and effective against most common vegetation types, including annual and perennial weeds (see Table 1 below). Most vegetation showed visible signs of stress (e.g. wilting or browning) within 2 to 24 hours of application of the herbicide.

Further trials were carried out, as described in Examples 2 to 4 below. The results of these trials are shown in Table 1.

#### EXAMPLE 2

d-Limonene, which was prepared by the distillation process of Example 1, was formulated as an emulsified mixture of 60% d-limonene with water and commercial emulsifier. Its herbicidal efficacy was tested, using the procedures described in Example 1.

#### EXAMPLE 3

Similar tests were carried out with dipentene (d,l-limonene) derived from pine oil (a commercial sample, used "neat").

#### EXAMPLE 4

A commercial sample of pine oil, used "neat", was tested.

TABLE 1

## HERBICIDE KNOCKDOWN TRIALS

VEGETATION	96% d-LIMONENE			60% d-LIMONENE			DIPENTENE			PINE OIL		
	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C
Soursob (Oxalis pes-caprae)	1	12	NO	1	18	NO	1	12	NO	1	12	NO
Caltrop (Tribulus terrestris)	2	12	NO	3	18	NO	2	12	NO	2	12	NO
Ryegrass (Lolium rigidum)	2	12	NO	2	18	NO	2	12	NO	1	6	NO
Saffron Thistle (Carthamus lanatus)	24	48	NO	36	48	NO	24	48	NO	18	48	NO
Wild Turnip - Young Plant (Brassica tournefortii)	12	24	NO	12	24	NO	12	24	NO	12	18	NO
- Mature Plant	18	36	OCCAS.	24	48	OCCAS.	18	36	OCCAS.	12	36	OCCAS.
Couch Grass (Cynodon dactylon)	6	48	OCCAS.	6	48	OCCAS.	6	48	OCCAS.	6	36	OCCAS.

NOTES: A = Time to first visible stress (Hrs)

B = Plant knockdown (Hrs)

C = Regrowth (after 2 weeks) OCCAS. = Occasional

Application: Household style trigger spray (Calmar Model TS-800-1) with 500ml reservoir

- spray nozzle setting (mist).

Water: Aim to achieve 60-80% coverage of vegetation.

Soil: Sandy loam.

EXAMPLE 5

A pine-based emulsion (approx. 30% v/v pine oil in water, with a commercial emulsifying agent) was trialled for herbicidal activity.

The pine-based emulsion was shaken well and test sprayed on 19/07/95 between 9-10am with the temperature below 12°C. The viscosity of this formulation did not allow it to spray well, resulting in only partial coverage. Spray drift was low. Within 8 hours most sprayed plants showed contact necrosis. Where reasonable coverage had been achieved, good kill rates followed. For wild oats and milk thistle, partial coverage allowed recovery by tillering or tip growth respectively. Woody Eucalyptus stem tissue also resprouted.

Results after 10 days were as follows:

Grass, wild oats -	rapid dessication of fine leaves but larger leaves more resilient. Growth continued from protected apex.
Gazania -	reasonable kill rate with long-lasting effect.
Sour sob -	rapid above ground foliar kill, effect on bulb unknown.
Blackwood Wattle -	rapid necrosis and permanent kill of foliage and stem to 5mm diameter.
Casuarina -	no initial effect; surface dull at 10 days; dead in contact area after 1 month.

- Saltbush, *Rhagodia* - rapid necrosis of foliage and stem tissue with permanent kill.
- Melaleuca stypheloides* - rapid necrosis and permanent kill of foliage and stems <5mm diameter.
- Wireweed - rapid necrosis of foliage and stem tissue with permanent kill.
- River red gum- rapid necrosis of mature and young foliage, but stems later resprouted. Eucalyptus stem tissue of 5mm diameter and larger resprouted after 2 months.

Other test plants which showed a rapid spray contact kill rate were: *Nigella*, *Plantago*, *Senecio*, *Salvation Jane*, *Medic* and *Osteospermum*. Young *Valerian* plants died, but older plants with succulent roots only suffered contact damage to foliage. Thistles showed contact damage, but growing tips were not damaged enough to kill the plants. Their growing tips continued to shoot and flower.

Accordingly, the pine-based emulsion was herbicidally effective against numerous species of weeds, some with known glyphosate resistance and some being of a "woody" nature.

While the present invention has been described in terms of preferred embodiments in order to facilitate better understanding of the invention, it should be appreciated that various modifications can be made without departing from the principles of the invention. Therefore, the invention should be understood to include all such modifications within its scope.

The claims defining the invention are as follows:

1. A herbicidal composition having, as an active ingredient, a terpene compound, a terpene derivative, or an essential oil comprising a terpene compound or terpene derivative.
2. A herbicidal composition according to claim 1, wherein the active ingredient is a pine oil, a pine alcohol or a terpene compound or derivative derived from pine oil.
3. A herbicidal composition according to claim 1, wherein the active ingredient is a citrus oil, a terpene compound or derivative derived from a citrus oil, tea tree oil (Oil of Melaleuca) or eucalyptus oil.
4. A herbicidal composition according to claim 3, wherein the citrus oil is orange oil, lemon oil, grapefruit oil, mandarin oil, tangerine oil or tangelo oil.
5. A herbicidal composition according to claim 1, wherein the active ingredient is limonene, d-limonene, l-limonene, d,l-limonene, dipentene, pinene, terpineol, terpinen-4-ol or cineole (eucalyptol).
6. A method for controlling unwanted plant growth, wherein a terpene compound, a terpene derivative, an essential oil comprising a terpene compound or terpene derivative, or a herbicidal composition having, as an active ingredient, a terpene compound, a terpene derivative or an essential oil comprising a terpene compound or terpene derivative is applied to the unwanted plant or plants.
7. A method according to claim 6, wherein the terpene compound, terpene derivative or essential oil is a pine oil, a pine alcohol or a terpene compound or derivative derived from pine oil.

8. A method according to claim 6, wherein the terpene compound, terpene derivative or essential oil is a citrus oil, a terpene compound or derivative derived from a citrus oil, tea tree oil (Oil of Melaleuca) or eucalyptus oil.

9. A method according to claim 8, wherein the citrus oil is orange oil, lemon oil, grapefruit oil, mandarin oil, tangerine oil or tangelo oil.

10. A method according to claim 6, wherein the terpene compound or terpene derivative is limonene, d-limonene, l-limonene, d,l-limonene, dipentene, pinene, terpineol, terpinen-4-ol or cineole (eucalyptol).

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No.  
PCT/AU 95/00739

<b>A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER</b>				
Int Cl <sup>6</sup> : A01N 65/00, 27/00, 31/02, 31/04, 31/06, 43/90.				
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC				
<b>B. FIELDS SEARCHED</b>				
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) A01N 65/00, 27/00, 31/04, 31/06, 43/90.				
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched AU IPC AS ABOVE.				
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS (REGISTRY NUMBERS [8002-09-3], [8000-48-4], [562-74-3], [5989-27-5], [138-86-3], [80-56-8], [8006-64-2] OR TEA TREE OIL) AND HERBICID: DERWENT HERBICID:				
<b>C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT</b>				
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.		
X	Chemical and Engineering news, (1983), 61 (14) April 4, pages 34-45, A. R. Putnam "Allelopathic Chemicals, Nature's Herbicides in action" see entire document	1,2,4,6,7,10.		
X	Weed Science, (1993), 41(1), pages 114-119, S.F. Vaughn and G.F. Spencer, "Volatile Monoterpenes as Potential Parent Structures for new Herbicides" see entire document	1-10.		
X	Journal of Chemical Ecology (1989), 15(5), pages 1567-1577, G.B. Williamson et al., "Chemical inhibition of fire - prone grasses by fire - sensitive shrub, <u>Conradina canescens</u> " see entire document	1.2.6.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> See patent family annex				
<table border="0"> <tr> <td> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>* Special categories of cited documents:</li> <li>"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance</li> <li>"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date</li> <li>"I" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)</li> <li>"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</li> <li>"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed</li> </ul> </td> <td> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</li> <li>"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone</li> <li>"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art</li> <li>"&amp;" document member of the same patent family</li> </ul> </td> </tr> </table>			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>* Special categories of cited documents:</li> <li>"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance</li> <li>"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date</li> <li>"I" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)</li> <li>"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</li> <li>"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</li> <li>"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone</li> <li>"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art</li> <li>"&amp;" document member of the same patent family</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>* Special categories of cited documents:</li> <li>"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance</li> <li>"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date</li> <li>"I" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)</li> <li>"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</li> <li>"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</li> <li>"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone</li> <li>"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art</li> <li>"&amp;" document member of the same patent family</li> </ul>			
Date of the actual completion of the international search 15 February 1996		Date of mailing of the international search report 20 FEB 1996		
Name and mailing address of the ISA/AU AUSTRALIAN INDUSTRIAL PROPERTY ORGANISATION PO BOX 200 WODEN ACT 2606 AUSTRALIA Facsimile No.: (06) 285 3929		Authorized officer NORMAN BLOM Telephone No.: (06) 283 2238		

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (July 1992) COPMJD

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

international Application No.  
PCT/AU 95/00739

C (Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	Proc. Montana Acad. Sci., (1982), 41 pages 51-56, T. Weaver and L. Kish, "Allelopathic potential of terpene secreting (aromatic) plants". see entire document	1-3, 5-8, 10.
X	The Amer. Midland Naturalist, 1970, vol 83, pages 254-282, R. del Moral and C.H. Muller "The allelopathic effects of Eucalyptus camaldulensis" see "allelo pathic properties of terpenes" page 262-266 and discussion.	1-3, 5-8, 10.
X	Weed control As a Science, G.C. Klingman, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., USA, September 1963 chapter 14 "Other Organic Herbicides" pages 208-225 see in particular pages 208-218.	1, 6
Y	(page 213 "boiling point and range of distillation")	2-5, 7-10
Y	Kirk-Othmer, Encyclopedia of chemical technology, Third Edition, Volume 22, pages 709-712. John Wiley and Sons, New York.	2-5, 7-10
X	Derwent abstract Accession No 90-284353, Class C02, EP 388164, (Du Pont De Nemours Co) 19 September 1990 entire abstract	1, 6
X	Derwent abstract Accession No 87-286557, (Shell Int. Res. Miy BV) Class C02, 14 October 1987 entire abstract	1, 6
X	Derwent abstract Accession No 88-216573, Class C02 (C03) JP A 63-152303, (Mitsubishi Petroch KK) 24 June 1988. entire abstract	1, 3, 6, 8
X	Derwent abstract Accession No 88-231637, Class C02 (C03) JP A 63-165301, (Shell Kagaku KK) 8 July 1988. entire abstract	1, 3, 6, 8
X	Proc. Br. Crop. Prot. Conf., 1985, vol 12, pages 265-270, J.W. May et al, "SD 95481 a versatile new Herbicide with wide spectrum crop use"	1, 3, 6, 8
X	WO, A, 93/19598 (R. V. Thompson) 14 October 1993. see entire document, in particular see page 12 lines 16-22; page 4 lines 12-15, page 7 lines 1-13 and page 11 (examples).	1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 10
E.X	AU-A 17959/95 (J. Selga and W.A. Kiely) 16 November 1995 entire document	1-10
A	AU-B 19517/88 (610610) (VSESOJUZNY NAUCHNO-ISSLEDOVATELSKY INSTITUT SELSKOKHOZYAISTVENNOI BIOTEKHNOLOGII VSESOJUZNOI AKADEMII SELSKOKHOZYAISSTVENNYKH NAUK IMEN V.I.LENINA: INSTITUT FIZIKO- ORGANICHESKOI KHIMII AKADEMII NAUK BELORUSSKOI SSR), 23 May 1991 see claim 1	1-10
X	Journal of Chemical Ecology 1985, 11(11), pages 1527-1534, I.S. AlSaadawi et al., "Allelopathic effects of <u>Citrus aurantium</u> L.II. Isolation, characterisation, an Biological Activities of Phytotoxins". entire document	1-10

Form PCT/ISA/210 (continuation of second sheet) (July 1992) COPMJD .



## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No.  
PCT/ AU 95/00739

C (Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No. 1
X	Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club, 1964, 21(4) pages 327-330, W.H. Muller and C.H. Muller, "Volatile growth inhibitors produced by Salvia species" see entire document	1-3,5-8,10
X	Derwent Abstract Accession No. 88-119336, class C02, WO-A-8802598 (Du Pont De Nemours Co) 21 April 1988 entire abstract	1,6
X	The Science of Allelopathy, John Wiley and Sons, New York, (1986), chapter 12, (pages 203-218) N.H. Fischer, "The Function of mono and sesquiterpenes as plant germination and growth regulators". see the entire article	1-10
A	US, A, 3592910 (A.R. Clark and M.M. Clark) 13 July 1971 entire document	1
X	Biologically active natural products: Potential use in agriculture. Americal Chemical Society symposium series (1988) H.G. Cutler Editor, Washington, DC, Chapter 16 "Terpenoids as models for New Agrochemicals" by S.D. Elakovich pages 250-261. see pages 250-256 and conclusions.	1-10
A	AU,A, 13882/95 (Les Derives Resiniques et Terperiques) 17 July 1995 see entire document.	1,6
Y	US,A, 2512044 (M.W. Swaney and L.Z. Jasion) 20 June 1950. see claim 1 and examples (n-decane, n-decene)	1-10
X	The Merk Index, Tenth Edition, Merck and Co., Inc, Rahway, N.J., U.S.A Monographs. 3840, 5321, 6658, 6668, 6692, 7319, 7320, 7321.	1-5
X	Derwent Abstract Accession No 91-152274, Class C03, (Sanyo Chem Ind Ltd), 11 April 1991 whole abstract	1-10
A	Derwent Abstract Accession No 93-299519, class C03, (Solar Japan KK), 24 August 1993 entire abstract	1-3,5-8,10
A	US,A, 5407899 (B.S. Howell), 18 April 1995 see column 4 lines 39-59	1,6
A	Environ. Entomol. (1986), 15(6), pages 1192-1198, K.H. Haag, "Effects of herbicide application on Mortality and Dispersive behaviour of the water Hyacinth Weevils, Neochetina eichlorniae and Neochetina bruchi (coleoptera:Curculionidae)" see page 1194 column 2 lines 6-24	1,6
X	DE,A, 504333 (Schering-Kahlbaum A.G.) 17 July 1930 see entire specification.	1,2,5
X	US,A, 4587123 (J.S. Price) 6 May 1986 see abstract	1-3,5

Form PCT/ISA/210 (extra sheet) (July 1992) COPMJD

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

### Information on patent family members

International Application No.

PCT/AU 95/00739

This Annex lists the known "A" publication level patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The Australian Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

Patent Document Cited in Search Report				Patent Family Member			
WO	93/19598	AU	39630/93	NZ	242249		
AU	13882/95	WO	95/17822	FR	2714262		
AU	19517/88	WO	89/08394	EP	358762	US	5010106
		HU	53261				
US	5407899	AU	51942/93	CA	2111314		
END OF ANNEX							